| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|--|---|---------------------------|--|
| | 10/652,137 | ESSICK ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Denise Tran | 2185 | |
| All Participants: Status of Application: | | | |
| (1) <u>Denise Tran</u> . | (3) | | |
| (2) Renee' Michelle Leveque (reg. No. 36,193). | 2) <u>Renee' Michelle Leveque (reg. No. 36,193)</u> . (4) | | |
| Date of Interview: 28 August 2006 | Time: | | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No | | | |
| Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No If Yes, provide a brief description: | | | |
| Part I. | · | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: | | | |
| Claims discussed: | | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: | | | |
| Part II. | | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: A propose amendment to put the application in the condition of allowance has been discussed; however, there is no response from the applicant. | | | |
| Part III. | | | |
| It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| Denneson | | | |
| (Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/ | Applicant's Representative Signature | gnature – if appropriate) | |